

Can there be no doubt that a frustrated organism will generate novel response as a means to overcome that frustration? Furthermore can not one of the responses be vocal in nature?

Suppose a primate frustrated with the actions of another in the troop emits a sound of frustration towards that primate. Further suppose, that the response by the other primate then was to modify their behaviour, in such a way, that the frustration of the vocal primate is reduced. Ah, but we need a process of natural selection, we need to Darwinify the argument.

What we need are primates within a troop that 'realizes' their verbalizations, are recognized as frustration, by the other primates (1). This group would yield significant power over the other primates because they would be able to recognize other brain states and capitalize by manipulating such states. Adaptive value would be the acumen of other's brain states and the ability to influence these brain states via demonstrable behaviour (i.e. verbal utterances).

Could this mean that language finds its genesis in frustration. What is frustration but mental perturbation, I suppose it should be no surprise that the priest created language. How else would he generate frustration as a means to control?

Finally Christians have an argument for God's existence: Some entity must necessarily exist to give life to Darwin so that he could give adaptive value to the ecclesiastic baboon.

---

1. Gricean apes anyone?